EAST AREA COMMITTEE MEETING – 21st June 2012

Amend de-brief Note

PLANNING APPLICATIONS

CIRCULATION: First

ITEM: APPLICATION REF: 12/0248/FUL

<u>Location</u>: The Royal Standard, 292 Mill Road

<u>Target Date:</u> 19.04.2012

To Note:

Petition with 350 signatures received on 13 June 2012.

The petition apposes the principle of redeveloping the former Royal Standard into flats. It argues that the pub should be kept as a pub for community use and the surrounding open space should be preserved and improved.

Amended Plans

Amended plans have been received clarifying a very minor discrepancy on the plans. The existing flat roof and pitch of the rear dormer to the Royal Standard was previously omitted. The amendments are de minimis and do not require reconsultation.

Amendments To Text: No amendments.

Pre-Committee Amendments to Recommendation: No amendments.

DECISION:

<u>CIRCULATION</u>: First

<u>ITEM</u>: <u>APPLICATION REF</u>: **12/0490/FUL**

<u>Location</u>: 25 Cambridge Place

<u>Target Date:</u> 18.06.2012

To Note: No amendments.

Amendments To Text: No amendments.

<u>Pre-Committee Amendments to Recommendation:</u>

Minor alteration to officer recommendation:

Reference to the associated S106, and the alterative recommendation was omitted. The recommendation to Committee should therefore read:

1. APPROVE subject to the satisfactory completion of the s106 agreement by 1 August 2012 and subject to the following conditions and reasons for approval:

(Conditions as per officer report)

2. Unless prior agreement has been obtained from the Head of Planning, in consultation with the Chair and Spokesperson of this Committee to extend the period for completion of the Planning Obligation required in connection with this development, if the Obligation has not been completed by 1 August 2012, or if Committee determine that the application be refused against officer recommendation of approval, it is recommended that the application be refused for the following reason(s):

The proposed development does not make appropriate provision for public open space, community development facilities, life-long learning facilities, waste storage, waste management facilities and monitoring in accordance with Cambridge Local Plan 2006 policies 3/8, 3/12 5/14 and 10/1 and Cambridgeshire and Peterborough Structure Plan 2003 policies P6/1 and P9/8 and as detailed in the Planning Obligation Strategy 2010, the RECAP Waste Management Design Guide SPD 2012 and the Open Space Standards Guidance for Interpretation and Implementation 2010.

3. In the event that the application is refused, and an Appeal is lodged against the decision to refuse this application, delegated authority is sought to allow officers to negotiate and complete the Planning Obligation required in connection with this development.

DECISION:

<u>CIRCULATION</u>: First

<u>ITEM</u>: <u>APPLICATION REF</u>: **12/0255/FUL**

<u>Location</u>: Former Greyhound Public House, 93 Coldhams Lane

Target Date: 20.04.2012

To Note:

The Interim Planning Policy Guidance on the protection of Public Houses in the city

of Cambridge, which is currently at the public consultation stage, describes the Greyhound as a Public House that has been severed from its local catchment area.

Amendments To Text:

RECOMMENDATION	impact on the highway. APPROVAL
	 Industrial use would be acceptable in this location on a small scale, as proposed. The proposed building would be in keeping with its surroundings, with no detrimental
	 The loss of the Public House is acceptable because it is situated within an industrial area and cannot, therefore, be considered to be a valued facility, which meets the community's day-to-day needs.
SUMMARY	The development accords with the Development Plan for the following reasons:

Pre-Committee Amendments to Recommendation:

DECISION:

CIRCULATION: First

<u>ITEM</u>: <u>APPLICATION REF</u>: **12/0398/FUL**

Location: 50 Mill Road

<u>Target Date:</u> 22.05.2012

<u>To Note</u>: Nothing

Amendments To Text: None

Pre-Committee Amendments to Recommendation: None

DECISION:

<u>CIRCULATION</u>: First

<u>ITEM</u>: <u>APPLICATION REF</u>: **12/0377/FUL**

<u>Location</u>: 23 Hooper Street

<u>Target Date:</u> 17.05.2012

To Note: Nothing

Amendments To Text: None

Pre-Committee Amendments to Recommendation: None

DECISION:

CIRCULATION: First

ITEM: APPLICATION REF: 12/0342/FUL

Location: 34 Clifton Road

<u>Target Date:</u> 11.05.2012

<u>To Note</u>: This application has been withdrawn by the applicant and will no longer appear on this agenda, subject to agreement with the Chair.

Amendments To Text:

Pre-Committee Amendments to Recommendation:

DECISION:

<u>CIRCULATION</u>: First

ITEM: APPLICATION REF: 12/0169/FUL

<u>Location</u>: Site Adjacent 19 Sleaford Street

<u>Target Date:</u> 05.04.2012

To Note: Nothing

Amendments To Text: None

Pre-Committee Amendments to Recommendation: None

DECISION:

<u>CIRCULATION</u>: First

<u>ITEM</u>: <u>APPLICATION REF</u>: **12/0028/FUL**

<u>Location</u>: 1 Ferndale Rise

<u>Target Date:</u> 05.04.2012

<u>To Note</u>: A unilateral undertaking has been received from the applicant, but at present has not yet completed checking by the legal team. The addition to the recommendation below is therefore required.

Amendments To Text:

Pre-Committee Amendments to Recommendation:

The recommendation to Committee should read:

1. APPROVE subject to the satisfactory completion of the s106 agreement by 31st August 2012 and subject to the following conditions:

(Conditions as per officer report)

2. Unless prior agreement has been obtained from the Head of Planning, in consultation with the Chair and Spokesperson of this Committee to extend the period for completion of the Planning Obligation required in connection with this development, if the Obligation has not been completed by 31st August 2012, or if Committee determine that the application be refused against officer recommendation of approval, it is recommended that the application be refused for the following reason(s):

The proposed development does not make appropriate provision for public open space, waste facilities and monitoring in accordance with Cambridge Local Plan 2006 policies 3/8 and 3/12, Cambridgeshire and Peterborough Structure Plan 2003 policies P6/1 and P9/8 and as detailed in the Planning Obligation Strategy 2010 and the Open Space Standards Guidance for Interpretation and Implementation 2010.

3. In the event that the application is refused, and an Appeal is lodged against the decision to refuse this application, delegated authority is sought to allow officers to negotiate and complete the Planning Obligation required in connection with this development.

D	EC	S	O	N	•
\boldsymbol{L}	-	•	\sim		١.

CIRCULATION: First

<u>ITEM</u>: <u>APPLICATION REF</u>: **12/0260/FUL**

<u>Location</u>: Ryedale House, 40 Cambridge Place

<u>Target Date:</u> 23.04.2012

To Note:

An additional letter of representation has been received from 94 Mawson Road. This does not raise any additional issues.

Amendments To Text:

SUMMARY	The development accords with the Development Plan for the following reasons:		
	Residential use is more appropriate in this location than industrial use.		
	The external alterations to the building will have no detrimental visual impact.		
	Subject to conditions, the proposal will provide satisfactory living accommodation and will not have a significant detrimental impact on the residential amenity of neighbouring occupiers.		
RECOMMENDATION	APPROVAL		

Planning Obligations:

A contribution towards Waste, required by the County Council, was omitted from the report in error. This should read:

Waste Management

A contribution is sought from all dwellings towards up grading existing/providing new Household Recycling Centres to mitigate the impact of new development on these facilities. This development lies within the catchment site for Milton. Contributions are sought on the basis of £190 per dwelling for four new sites giving increased capacity as permanent replacements for the existing temporary site at Milton. A total contribution of £760 Is necessary

Subject to the completion of a S106 planning obligation to secure the requirements of the RECAP Waste Management Design Guide SPD 2012, I am satisfied that the proposal accords with Cambridgeshire and Peterborough Structure Plan (2003) policies P6/1 and P9/8, Cambridge Local Plan (2006) policy 10/1 and the RECAP Waste Management Design Guide SPD 2012.

Pre-Committee Amendments to Recommendation:

DECISION:

CIRCULATION: First

ITEM: APPLICATION REF: 12/0058/FUL

Location: Coleridge Community College, Radegund Road

<u>Target Date:</u> 27.03.2012

<u>To Note</u>: Nothing

Amendments To Text: None

<u>Pre-Committee Amendments to Recommendation</u>: None

DECISION: